

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
Ultimate outcome: A strengthened, effective and transparent legal framework reflecting the needs of Vietnamese citizens and supporting equitable economic growth.	(a) Rankings on the ease of doing business	(a) Annual enterprise survey by WB	(a) As of 2013 Vietnam 's rankings on the ease of doing business is 99 th (out of 189 economies) yet	(a) Improvement in the ranking on the ease of doing business 89 th by 2017, 79 th by 2018	(a) Annual enterprise survey by World Bank	(a) Project Office to review the WB reports	(a) Annually
	(b) National Law Database (at MOJ) provides access to LNDs in Vietnam	(b) Timeliness and availability of the LNDs published on National Law Database	(b) 2014: Website exists and database has been set in place, but no measurable content	(b) 90% of all LNDs at the central level are systematically posted on the MOJ website by end of project	(b) Review National Law Database (hosted by MOJ)	(b) MOJ to describe the status of the Database	(b) Annually

COMPONENT ONE: STRATEGIC PLANNING

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
Intermediate Outcome 1: Strategic planning and management processes being applied by MOJ and line ministries in the law-making process	Indicator a. Proportion of NLD partners that have set legislative priorities and multi-year plans/ roadmaps for implementation	Proportion of partner Ministries and OOG with documented priorities for legislative development and comprehensive plans/ roadmaps in place for their implementation	The partners have annual legislative development plans that do not contain a majority of the required content or adequate levels of analysis.	At least 75% of NLD partner line ministries approve a multiyear legislative development plan that include: objectives, priority policy issues/ laws, gender mainstreaming, Monitoring and Evaluation/indicators, resources/inputs, sequencing (planning & finance department, personnel, legal; key technical departments).	Expert review of partners' multiyear legislative development plans.	Project Office to contract expert(s) Expert(s) to review available plans Project partners to provide plans and assign the drafters to work with the experts	Mid-term (March 2018) and end of project

	Indicator b. Extent to which NLD partner Ministries are effectively applying their action plans or road maps	Evidence that partners' legislative projects are aligned with the priorities, outcomes and actions specified in ministry priority setting and roadmaps	Retrospective baseline (TBD)	The partners implement activities in annual legislative plans that correspond to the multi-year priorities and roadmaps, and include relevant M&E/ internal reviews.	Expert review of alignment of partners' legislative projects.	Project Office (to contract experts) Expert(s) (to review implementation) Project partners (to provide details on legislative projects and assign personnel to work with the experts)	Mid-term (March 2018) and end of project
	Indicator c. Efficiency in delivery of detailing documents corresponding to new laws and ordinances	Time between promulgation of new laws and ordinances and detailing documents Extent of reduction in number of detailing documents needed	Retrospective baseline (TBD)	By the end of the project life (2018): All NLD partner ministries: max 2 decrees and 3 circulars per law/ordinance, published within reasonable time of effective date of new legislation	Partners to track the time between the effective date of new legislation and the publication of all required detailing documents The Partners to record the number of decree and circular per newly developed/amended/ revised law/ordinance in one year. Numbers will be compared on an annual basis to chart year over year progress.	Project Office to review data and assess indicator status Project partners to provide details for each new law/ ordinance issued	Annually
Immediate Outcome 1.1: Improved capacity of NLD partners to engage in strategic planning processes, to apply gender sensitive analysis, to monitor performance and to report on results.	Indicator a. Partners' self assessment of the extent they have improved their ability to set legislative priorities and plan multi year roadmaps	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores on partners' self-assessment survey	N/A: Baseline can be considered N/A or zero because indicators are improvement-based	Mean = 4 (meaningful improvements in all targeted areas) [based on 5-point Likert style survey scale]	Self-assessment survey of sample of selected Partners, administered semi-annually, covering relevant question areas (i.e., To what extent do you feel your skills and expertise related to strategic planning have improved as a result of project support in this area; To what extent has your ability to actually implement effective strategic planning improved as a result of project support in this area)	Project Office to send the survey questionnaire; the partners (focal points to help collecting data	Semi-annually reporting Data collection immediately following the implementation of selected relevant activities
	Indicator b. Degree to which partners have been able to sequence their priorities in an	Technical specialists assess partners' planning documents	Retrospective baseline (TBD)	75% or more of documents assessed by technical specialists demonstrate improvements in	Strategic Planning Specialist assessment of Partner-produced planning documents and/ or	Partners to provide planning documents for review	Annually, following the production of

	action plan/roadmap that integrates gender mainstreaming considerations and results-based monitoring			strategic planning, gender sensitive analysis, monitoring performance, or reporting on results.	other relevant documents, relative to the project-develop rubric.	Project office to contract expert and oversee the review process	new planning documents
Output 1100: Support NLD partners in strategic planning to implement the LPNLD (including institutional development, RBM and gender integration).	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided to partners, including gender mainstreaming	Progress of technical assistance provision (including products delivery)	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP 75% - 100% products ¹ are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered, calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to date, and/ or number of knowledge products expected to be completed.]	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annually
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached directly through planning activities (planning workshops OR priority setting exercises integrated in other activities)	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annually
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	At the end of each activity where the technical materials are produced

¹ Some key products have been delivered timely in the previous years: in March 2015, CEA assessment report is submitted to, validated and approved by NLD partners; In 2015, the LPLD was adopted by NA; The detailing documents (Decree and Circular) of the LPLD have been promulgated according to the agenda (at the enforcement of LPLD).

	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project capacity building and other types of technical assistance related to planning	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/ or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the implementation of the relevant activities.	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet and entry the data, process data; Partners who implement selected activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities
--	--	---	---	--	--	--	---

COMPONENT TWO: POLICY DEVELOPMENT

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
Intermediate Outcome 2: Increased participation in legislative development by citizens and the private sector	Indicator a. Extent to which affected groups are identified and engaged in consultative activities by NLD partners	<i>(KPI carried over)</i> Extent to which affected groups are identified and engaged in consultative activities by NLD partners. (based on partner report)	N/A as the LPLD was not adopted [hence it was not compulsory that the policy development must include consultancy with targeted groups]	75% or more of law/ordinance development proposal dossiers (in the policy development stage) indicate that the affected groups have been identified and consulted (in number and content of consultation and how their feedback/comments are considered)	The policy development specialist review the law/ordinance development proposal dossiers prepared by the partners	Project Office to hire the expert for review the partners' dossiers; Project partners to provide the dossiers	Annually (from 1 June 2016 on)
Immediate Outcome 2.1: Improved capacity of line ministries to conduct policy research, analysis (including gender analysis), policy impact assessments and appraisal	Indicator a. Partners' self-assessment of improvements in policy research and analysis (including gender analysis), policy impact assessments (including gender impact assessments) and appraisals	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores on partners' self-assessment survey	Retrospective baseline (TBD)	Mean = 4 (significant improvement in capacity on at least two of three areas) Capacity Improvement Areas: policy research and analysis (including gender analysis), policy impact assessments (including gender impact assessments) and appraisals.	Partners' self-assessment survey	Project Office to design survey and entry the data, process data; Specialists to review survey and results Partners (focal person) to help disseminate the survey	End of Project Year 3 (Phase 2) (March 2018); after all capacity building related to policy development delivered.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
	Indicator b. Extent to which partners demonstrate improvements in policy research and analysis (including gender analysis), policy impact assessments and appraisals	<i>(KPI carried over)</i> % of NLD partner policy proposals for laws/ ordinances that receive formal approval by NA (or are approved for inclusion in the annual legislative agenda)	N/A as the LPLD was not adopted [hence it was not compulsory that the policy development is a must in the legislation process]	75% or more of NLD partner policy proposals for laws/ordinances that receive formal approval by NA (or are approved for inclusion in the annual legislative agenda).	Partner report	MOJ to provide data for all ministries partners (MARD, MOIT, MOF and MOJ)	From 2017 onward
		Technical specialist assessment of partners': (1) policy proposals prepared by ministry partners and (2) the policy appraisals (by OOG and MOJ) Did the appraisal comply with the criteria in the LPLND?	Retrospective baseline (TBD) [The specialists will review the policy dossiers which were developed before any NLD supported capacity building activities on policy development conducted]	75% or more of NLD partners' reviewed policy proposals for laws/ordinances are assessed of good quality by specialists. 75% or more of policy appraisal dossiers (by OOG and MOJ) are assessed of good quality by specialists	The policy development specialist review the law/ordinance development proposal dossiers prepared by the partners and policy appraisal dossiers (by OOG and MOJ)	Project Office to recruit specialists Partners to provide the law/ ordinance development proposal dossiers and policy appraisal dossiers	Annually (from 1 June 2016 on)
Output 2100: Support provided to NLD partners for the development of tools for policy development	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided to partners, including gender mainstreaming	Progress of technical assistance provision (including products delivery)	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP 75% - 100% products ² are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered, calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to date, and/ or number of knowledge products expected to be completed.]	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached directly through planning activities	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual

² Key products that have been delivered in the previous years include Policy Development Handbook.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
					outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.		
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	Upon the completion of each activity where the technical materials are produced
	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project selected capacity building (training course) and selected non-training technical assistance	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/ or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the implementation of the relevant activities.	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet and entry the data, process data ; Partners who implement selected activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities
Output 2200: Support provided to enhance NLD partners' capacity in policy development including gender integration	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided to partners, including gender mainstreaming	Progress of technical assistance provision (including products delivery)	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP 75% - 100% products ³ are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered, calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to date, and/ or number of	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual

³ Key products that are expected include: Admenmend to the Circular 17 (Gender Mainstreaming in Legislation Process Tools); Admendmend to Law on Gender Equality.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
					knowledge products expected to be completed.]		
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached directly through capacity building activities	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	Upon the completion of each activity where the technical materials are produced
	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project selected capacity building (training course) and selected non-training technical assistance	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the implementation of the relevant activities.	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet and entry the data, process data; Partners who implement selected activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities
Immediate Outcome 2.2: Improved capacity of MOJ and line ministries to conduct consultations with citizens and the private	Indicator a. Partners' self-assessment of improvements in conducting consultations with citizens and the private sector throughout policy development processes	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores on partners' self-assessment survey	Retrospective baseline (TBD)	Mean = 4 (significant improvement in capacity)	Partners' self-assessment survey	Project Office to design survey and entry the data, process data; Specialists to review survey and results	Mid-term (March 2018) and end of project

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
sector throughout policy development processes	(including gender impact assessments)					Partners (focal person) to help disseminate the survey	
	Indicator b. Extent to which partners demonstrate improvements in conducting consultations with citizens (M/F) and the private sector throughout policy development processes	Evidence of direct engagement with stakeholders; # of stakeholder groups; geographic scope, # of methods applied; evidence of gender considerations	N/A as the LPLD was not adopted [hence it was not compulsory that consultation with targeted group in policy development stage was not a must]	75% or more of approved policies show evidence of gender considerations.	The policy development specialists and gender specialist review (approved) proposal dossiers	Project Office to recruit specialists Partners to provide the approved proposal dossiers or any materials that describe how consultations have been conducted	Annually
		Extent to which new processes established to support consultative processes in NLD partner ministries/ agencies include key elements (see qualitative elements above)	N/A	New consultative processes established by all NLD partner ministries (MOJ, MOF, MOIT, MARD) include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How to engage private sector stakeholders - How to ensure gender considerations are integrated in consultation process 	The policy development specialists and gender specialist review the Document that describe the consultative processes established by all NLD partner ministries	Project Office to recruit specialists Partners to provide the proposal dossiers or any materials that describe how consultations have been conducted	Annually (from 1 June 2016 on)
Output 2300: Support provided to NLD partners to improve public consultations which incorporate gender perspectives	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided to partners, including gender mainstreaming	Progress of technical assistance provision (including products delivery) Quality and timeliness of products delivered.	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP 75% - 100% products ⁴ are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered, calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual

⁴ Key products that are expected include: Admenmend to the Circular 17 (Gender Mainstreaming in Legislation Process Tools); Admendmend to Law on Gender Equality.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
					date, and/ or number of knowledge products expected to be completed.]		
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached directly through planning activities	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	Upon the completion of each activity where the technical materials are produced
	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project selected capacity building (training course) and selected non-training technical assistance	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/ or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the implementation of the relevant activities.	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet and entry the data, process data ; Partners who implement selected activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities

COMPONENT THREE: LEGISLATION DRAFTING

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
Intermediate Outcome 3: Enhanced coherence and standardization in the Vietnamese lawmaking process	Indicator a. Extent to which common procedures are used consistently across ministries and relevant bodies at every stage of the law making process	Technical specialists assessment of improvements in the use of common procedures, evidenced by things like: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - % of policy dossiers satisfactorily appraised - Consistency of partners' application of the procedures and drafting conventions/ standards set out in the applicable manuals/ handbooks 	There are not common procedures which are used consistently across ministries and relevant bodies at every stage of the law making process; The process of pre-review (appraisal and verification) has been conducted, but the quality is low (LPLD 2008, Decree 24/2009)	After two years of implementation of LPLD, the common procedures have been used consistently across ministries and relevant bodies at every stage of the law making process	Expert review of relevant documentation and input from partners related to law making procedures.	Partners to participate in working sessions with specialists and provide relevant documentation on common procedures Project office to contract expert and oversee review process	Mid-term (March 2018) and end of project
Immediate Outcome 3.1: Improved capacity of drafters to draft LNDs in accordance with the approved policy and recognized standards, including use of gender sensitive language	Indicator a. Partners' self-assessment of improvements in drafting LNDs in accordance with the approved policy and recognized standards, including gender mainstreaming	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from Partner's survey (especially OOG and MOJ)	Retrospective baseline (TBD)	Mean = 4 (meaningful improvements in targeted areas, as measured by self-assessment tool covering the relevant areas and applicable standards) [based on 5-point Likert style survey scale]	Partners' self-assessment survey	Project Office to design survey and entry the data, process data; Specialists to review survey and results Partners (focal person) to help disseminate the survey	Annually, once the related capacity building activities have been completed
	Indicator b. Extent to which partners demonstrate improvements in drafting LNDs in accordance with the approved policy and recognized standards, including gender mainstreaming	Technical specialists assessment of partners' legislative drafts (adherence to the approved policy).	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A or zero because indicators are improvement-based)	Meaningful improvements in targeted areas, as measured by specialized assessment rubric covering the relevant areas and applicable standards.	Expert review of documentation including law/ ordinance drafts and related approved policy documents	Partners to participate in working sessions with specialists and to provide the law/ordinance drafts and the related approved policy	Mid-term (March 2018) and end of project

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
						Project office to contract expert and oversee review process	
Output 3100: Support provided to NLD partners for the development of the tools for Legislative Drafting	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided to partners, including gender mainstreaming	Progress of technical assistance provision (including products delivery)	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP 75% - 100% products ⁵ are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered, calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to date, and/ or number of knowledge products expected to be completed.]	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached directly through planning activities	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	Upon the completion of each activity where the technical materials are produced

⁵ Key products that have been delivered in the previous years include Policy Development Handbook.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project selected capacity building (training course) and selected non-training technical assistance	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/ or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the implementation of the relevant activities.	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet and entry the data, process data ; Partners who implement selected activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities
Output 3200: Support provided to enhance NLD partners' capacity in legislative drafting.	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided to partners, including gender mainstreaming	Progress of technical assistance provision (including products delivery)	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP 75% - 100% products ⁶ are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered, calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to date, and/ or number of knowledge products expected to be completed.]	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached directly through planning activities	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual

⁶ Key products that are expected include: Admenmend to the Circular 17 (Gender Mainstreaming in Legislation Process Tools); Admenmend to Law on Gender Equality.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	Upon the completion of each activity where the technical materials are produced
	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project selected capacity building (training course) and selected non-training technical assistance	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the implementation of the relevant activities.	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet and entry the data, process data ; Partners who implement selected activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities
Immediate Outcome 3.2: Legislative drafting processes are well-coordinated amongst ministries and between central and local levels.	a. Extent to which consistent standards are applied by NLD partner ministries during the drafting process [including consistent language and terms]	Technical specialists assessment of the consistency of standards used in legislative drafting	N/A based on lack of standards at project inception	Need specialist's inputs (here) to describe what are standards must be/should be applied	Expert review of relevant documentation and input from partners related to drafting processes	Project Office to contract specialists Partners to provide relevant documentation for review, and to participate in review process	Mid-term (March 2018) and end of project
	b. Extent to which legislative drafting processes are well-coordinated amongst ministries and between central and local levels	Technical specialists assessment of inter- and intra-ministerial coordination in legislative drafting processes	Retrospective baseline (TBD)	Need specialist's inputs (here) to describe what legislative drafting processes should be coordinated	Expert review of relevant documentation and input from partners related to drafting processes	Project Office to contract specialists Partners to provide relevant documentation for review, and to participate in review process	Mid-term (March 2018) and end of project

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
Output 3300: Support provided to improve coordination and harmonization of policies and LDs between local and central levels and between ministries.	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided to partners, including gender mainstreaming	Progress of technical assistance provision (including products delivery)	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP 75% - 100% products ⁷ are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered, calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to date, and/ or number of knowledge products expected to be completed.]	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached directly through planning activities	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	Upon the completion of each activity where the technical materials are produced
	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project selected capacity building (training course) and	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/ or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities

⁷ Key products that are expected include: Admenmend to the Circular 17 (Gender Mainstreaming in Legislation Process Tools); Admendment to Law on Gender Equality.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
	selected non-training technical assistance	activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)			include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the implementation of the relevant activities.	and entry the data, process data ; Partners who implement selected activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	

COMPONENT FOUR: SYSTEM COHERENCE

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
Intermediate Outcome 4: Improved quality of economic legislation developed through pilot programs	Indicator a. Extent to which economic and other legislation is coherent with policy directions and strategic plans	Technical specialist assessment of alignment between relevant legislation, policy, and strategic plans	Retrospective baseline (TBD)	Meaningful improvements in targeted areas.	Expert Review of relevant legislation, policy, and strategic plans, and input from partners, leading to an assessment based on project-developed rubric	Project Office to contract specialists Partners to provide relevant documentation for review, and to participate in review process	Mid-term (March 2018) and end of project
	Indicator b. [KPI carried over] Ranking of Vietnam on [regulations] protecting minority investors in comparison with other country	Enterprise survey conducted early by World Bank	As of 2013: Ranked 157 (out of 189 countries surveyed) [the WB, 2014]	Annual improvement	Project Office to get data from World Bank Report	Project Office	Annually
Immediate Outcome 4.1: Increased capacity of MOJ and NLD partners to ensure laws are consistent, organized and accessible	Indicator a. Partners' self-assessment of their capacity to systematize (organize) laws, identify and avoid potential conflicts between laws (consistency) and publish laws and draft laws in plain language (accessibility)	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from Partner's survey	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A or zero because indicators are improvement-based)	Mean = 4 (meaningful improvements in targeted areas, as measured by self-assessment tool covering the relevant areas such as accessibility/ extent to which laws are published and written in plain language) [based on 5-point Likert style survey scale]	Partners' self-assessment survey	Project Office to design survey and entry the data, process data; Specialists to review survey and results Partners (focal person) to help disseminate the survey	Mid-term (March 2018) and end of project
	Indicator b. Extent to which partners' demonstrate improvements in the systematization of (organization) laws; identification and avoidance of potential conflicts between	Technical specialists assessment of partners' legislative drafts	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A or zero because indicators are improvement-based)	Mean = 4 (meaningful improvements in targeted areas, as measured by specialist assessment rubric covering the relevant areas, such as accessibility/ extent to which laws are published and written in plain	Expert Review of relevant and input from partners, leading to an assessment based on project-developed rubric	Project Office to contract specialists Partners to provide relevant documentation for review, and to	Mid-term (March 2018) and end of project

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
	laws (consistency) and publication of laws that are drafted in plain language (accessibility)			language) [based on 5-point Likert style survey scale]		participate in review process	
Output 4100: Support provided to NLD partners to enable codification	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided to partners, including gender mainstreaming	Progress of technical assistance provision (including products delivery)	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP 75% - 100% products ⁸ are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered, calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to date, and/ or number of knowledge products expected to be completed.]	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached directly through planning activities	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	Upon the completion of each activity where the technical materials are produced

⁸ Key products that have been delivered in the previous years include Policy Development Handbook.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project selected capacity building (training course) and selected non-training technical assistance	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/ or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the implementation of the relevant activities.	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet and entry the data, process data ; Partners who implement selected activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities
Output 4200: Support provided to NLD partners to conduct post-adoption reviews, periodical reviews and monitoring and evaluation of the performance of LDs based on common	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided to partners, including gender mainstreaming	Progress of technical assistance provision (including products delivery)	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP 75% - 100% products ⁹ are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered, calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to date, and/ or number of knowledge products expected to be completed.]	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached directly through planning activities	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual

⁹ Key products that are expected include: Admenmend to the Circular 17 (Gender Mainstreaming in Legislation Process Tools); Admenmend to Law on Gender Equality.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	Upon the completion of each activity where the technical materials are produced
	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project selected capacity building (training course) and selected non-training technical assistance	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/ or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the implementation of the relevant activities.	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet and entry the data, process data ; Partners who implement selected activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities
Output 4300: Support to development of standards/tools and means of communication	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided to partners, including gender mainstreaming	Progress of technical assistance provision (including products delivery)	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP 75% - 100% products ¹⁰ are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered, calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to date, and/ or number of knowledge products expected to be completed.]	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries	Project Office to record and compare	Semi-annual

¹⁰ Key products that are expected include: Admenmend to the Circular 17 (Gender Mainstreaming in Legislation Process Tools); Admendmend to Law on Gender Equality.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
		directly through planning activities	indicators refer directly to project implementation.	beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.	between the actual implementation and the AWP	
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	Upon the completion of each activity where the technical materials are produced
	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project selected capacity building (training course) and selected non-training technical assistance	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/ or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the implementation of the relevant activities.	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet and entry the data, process data ; Partners who implement selected activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities
Output 4400: Support to development and (piloting) implementation of institutional learning strategies	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided to partners, including gender mainstreaming	Progress of technical assistance provision (including products delivery)	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP 75% - 100% products ¹¹ are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered, calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual

¹¹ Key products that are expected include: Admenmend to the Circular 17 (Gender Mainstreaming in Legislation Process Tools); Admendmend to Law on Gender Equality.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
					of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to date, and/ or number of knowledge products expected to be completed.]		
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached directly through planning activities	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annual
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	Upon the completion of each activity where the technical materials are produced
	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project selected capacity building (training course) and selected non-training technical assistance	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/ or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the implementation of the relevant activities.	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet and entry the data, process data ; Partners who implement selected activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities
Output 4500: Pilot initiatives conducted for selected LNDs that serve	Indicator a. Relevant technical assistance provided	Progress of technical assistance provision	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because	75% - 100% technical assistance as in the approved AWP	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual technical assistance delivered,	Project Office to record and compare between the actual	Semi-annually

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
to provide models for the processes, standards and tools for lawmaking	to partners, including gender mainstreaming	(including products delivery)	indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% products ¹² are delivered in good quality and on time as planned;	calculated using budget rollup of relevant consultant fees and/ or consultant LoE as a proxy. [Alternately, can be calculated based on number of TA events/ activities completed versus planned to date, and/ or number of knowledge products expected to be completed.]	implementation and the AWP	
		Number of appropriate beneficiaries reached directly through planning activities	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	75% - 100% of the targeted numbers of appropriate beneficiaries have been reached through the implementation of planned activities	Comparison of YTD planned versus actual beneficiaries reached through relevant TA activities. Planned beneficiaries should be outlined in AWP, and actual beneficiary numbers should be taken from activity implementation reports.	Project Office to record and compare between the actual implementation and the AWP	Semi-annually
		Gender mainstreaming content in technical materials	N/A Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation.	Rigorous gender mainstreaming content has been fully incorporated into the majority of Technical materials	Gender specialist assessment or relevant knowledge products, activity reports, training materials etc. related to this output, based on the project-developed rubric.	Project Office to assign gender expert (or Project Officer) to review the Gender mainstreaming content	At the end of each activity where the technical materials are produced
	Indicator b. Level of satisfaction with project selected capacity building (training course) and selected non-training technical assistance	Aggregated and disaggregated mean scores from beneficiary satisfaction survey (based on relevant selected activities in this component, to be listed when the data is reported)	N/A (Baseline can be considered N/A because indicators refer directly to project implementation).	Mean = 4 (satisfied) Note: The survey itself will further break down the criteria into the relevant elements (quality of TA, logistics, scope of activity etc.)	Survey of beneficiaries and/ or other relevant stakeholders in relation to the selected capacity building/ TA activities. Survey form will include both generic and activity-specific questions, and will be administered immediately following the	Project Office to design the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet and entry the data, process data ; Partners who implement selected	Immediately following the implementation of selected activities

¹² Key products that are expected include: Admenmend to the Circular 17 (Gender Mainstreaming in Legislation Process Tools); Admendmend to Law on Gender Equality.

RESULTS	PROPOSED INDICATORS	PROSPECTIVE CRITERIA	BASELINE	TARGET [Level 4 in the Rubric]	DATA SOURCE/METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	FREQUENCY
					implementation of the relevant activities.	activities to help disseminate the Satisfaction Assessment Sheet	